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ABSTRACT: We developed a cation-exchange membrane-based dual-channel system to measure elemental and oxidized mercury and deployed it with an automated calibration system and the University of Nevada, Reno-Reactive Mercury Active System (UNR-RMAS) at a rural/suburban field site in Colorado during the summer of 2018. Unlike oxidized mercury measurements collected via the widely used KCl denuder method, the dual-channel system was able to quantitatively recover HgCl₂ and HgBr₂ injected by the calibrator into the ambient sample air and compared well with the UNR-RMAS measurements. The system measured at 10 min intervals and had a 3-h average detection limit for oxidized mercury of 33 pg m⁻³. It was able to detect day-to-day variability and diel cycles in oxidized mercury (0 to 200 pg m⁻³) and will be an important tool for future studies of atmospheric mercury. We used a gravimetric method to independently determine the total mercury permeation rate from the permeation tubes. Permeation rates derived from the gravimetric method matched the permeation rates observed via mercury measurement devices to within 25% when the mercury permeation rate was relatively high (up to 30 pg s⁻¹), but the agreement decreased for lower permeation rates, probably because of increased uncertainty in the gravimetric measurements.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mercury is a pervasive environmental contaminant that impacts the health of humans and wildlife.¹⁻⁴ Most mercury pollution is emitted to the atmosphere, but its toxic impact generally occurs after it deposits into ecosystems.⁵ Atmospheric transport and chemistry determine where and when these toxic impacts occur.⁶

A critical aspect of atmospheric mercury behavior is the oxidation of elemental mercury (Hg⁰). The majority of mercury is emitted as Hg⁰,⁸ which is relatively inert and can be transported around the globe.⁹ However, Hg⁰ can be oxidized in the atmosphere,¹⁰⁻¹⁴ forming oxidized mercury compounds that are much more reactive, water-soluble, and readily depositable.⁶ In this work, we refer to oxidized mercury as HgII because mercury in the +1 oxidation state is very likely rare in the natural environment.¹⁵,¹⁶ Low concentrations and the reactivity of HgII makes it impossible for existing methods to quantitatively differentiate between gas and particle phase HgII.¹⁷,¹⁸

Recent theoretical work has pointed to halogen radicals (especially bromine) as important initiators of Hg⁰ oxidation reactions.¹⁹⁻²¹ Still, some have argued that models can only explain measured HgII behavior if they include reactions with other oxidants, including ozone and OH radical.¹³,²²⁻²⁴ The actual chemical speciation of atmospheric HgII is not known with certainty, since no successful compound-specific measurements have been made in ambient air.²⁵⁻²⁷ A wide range of compounds have been proposed.¹⁹,²⁰,²⁴,²⁸⁻³⁵ The University of Nevada, Reno-Reactive Mercury Active System (UNR-RMAS) collects HgII on nylon membranes, and the collected HgII can be thermally desorbed into a mercury analyzer. By comparing the thermal desorption profiles of synthetic standards against those of ambient air samples, researchers have found consistent evidence for HgII compounds containing halogens, nitrogen, oxygen, and sulfur.³⁶,³⁷ UNR-RMAS results have been shown to be consistent with known atmospheric chemistry and transport at sites around the globe.²⁸,²⁹,³⁸

Work to understand mercury oxidation and reduction in the ambient atmosphere has been confounded by inaccuracy in commercial HgII measurement systems.¹⁷,³⁹⁻⁴¹ These systems rely on KCl-coated denuders to capture HgII compounds, but a substantial amount of the HgII they capture is reduced to Hg⁰ during sample collection, causing a low bias for HgII.¹⁷,⁴² Because
the extent of the bias depends on ambient conditions and the Hg\textsuperscript{0} compounds being measured\textsuperscript{39,43}, correcting for the bias in existing data sets may not be possible. This bias has left modelers without reliable Hg\textsuperscript{II} data sets to compare against existing data sets. It is difficult to answer questions about mercury oxidation mechanisms.

The bias in KCl denuder-based Hg\textsuperscript{II} measurements was discovered about a decade after the technique had begun to be widely used.\textsuperscript{42,44} At least part of the reason for this delay was that, until recently, no technique existed to routinely check the accuracy of Hg\textsuperscript{II} measurements in ambient air.\textsuperscript{18,45} Permeation tube\textsuperscript{43,46} and evaporation\textsuperscript{47} methods have been used to calibrate for Hg\textsuperscript{0} compounds at ambient or near-ambient levels.

Several recent papers, including papers that incorporate Hg\textsuperscript{II} calibrations, have shown that activated poly(ether sulfone) cation-exchange membranes collect Hg\textsuperscript{0} compounds without the bias inherent in KCl denuder-based methods.\textsuperscript{36,37,43,48} Unfortunately, while the preconcentration time required for KCl denuder measurements is usually only 1 h, direct collection of Hg\textsuperscript{II} on cation-exchange membranes requires long sampling times (generally at least 1 week).\textsuperscript{25} While accuracy is more important than sampling frequency, an accurate, high-frequency measurement system is also needed.

Here we report on the development of a mercury measurement system that switches between a cation-exchange membrane channel and a pyrolyzer channel to determine Hg\textsuperscript{0} levels by difference. This system resulted in accurate Hg\textsuperscript{0} measurements at relatively rapid time intervals (10 min, though averaging over one or more hours improves detection limits) at our study site. Our design is based on the DOHGS instrument that has been used to measure Hg\textsuperscript{II} from aircraft.\textsuperscript{17,49} but we have adapted it for long-term use at a surface measurement station. We provide information about this dual-channel system's performance and ambient air results. We base much of our assessment of its performance on verification with our automated mercury calibrator. We also present information about the calibrator's performance, including an attempt to verify the output of its permeation tubes gravimetrically.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sampling Location. We conducted the field component of this study at the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) air monitoring site at Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge in July and August 2018. The site is rural/suburban, and ~25 km northwest of Denver, Colorado, U.S.A. (Figure S1 of the Supporting Information, SI). The station is located at latitude 39.913°, longitude −105.189°. Mercury point and area sources exist in the region (Figure S1), but the purpose of the field study was primarily to test the performance of a new dual-channel mercury measurement system, rather than to investigate nearby sources.

We measured Hg\textsuperscript{0} and Hg\textsuperscript{II} with the dual-channel system, total atmospheric mercury with a separate analyzer, oxidized mercury with the UNR-RMAS 2.0 membrane sampling system,\textsuperscript{24} and CO\textsubscript{2} with a LiCOR LI-840A at the field site. CDPHE measured the ozone and meteorological parameters. Details about measurement techniques appear below.

2.2. Mercury Calibration System. 2.2.1. Mercury Calibrator. We used the same mercury calibrator that was described by Lyman et al.\textsuperscript{43} The calibrator used permeation tubes to generate Hg\textsuperscript{0}, HgBr\textsubscript{2}, and HgCl\textsubscript{2} vapor. The permeation tubes resided within deactivated fused silica-coated stainless steel tubing kept at constant temperature (nominally 100 °C) in a permeation oven. We describe the permeation tubes in the next section. High-purity nitrogen passed through the tubing and over the permeation tubes, and Hg\textsuperscript{0} or Hg\textsuperscript{II} compounds emitted by the tubes traveled in the nitrogen stream to the calibrator outlet. A multiport valve allowed us to select among the available permeation tubes, and a LabView program allowed for automated, routine operation of the instrument. The calibrator maintained all tubing and valves downstream of the permeation tubes at 200 °C.

2.2.2. Permeation Tubes. We built permeation tubes that were similar to those described by Lyman et al.\textsuperscript{43} The tubes were constructed of 3 mm PTFE or PFA tubing and had PTFE plugs at both ends to hold Hg\textsuperscript{0}, HgBr\textsubscript{2}, and HgCl\textsubscript{2} in place within the tube. The permeable length (i.e., the distance between the plugs at the center of the tube) was approximately 1 mm. We constructed the permeation tubes used during the field deployment in Colorado from PFA tubing with 0.8 mm wall thickness, and we constructed the other permeation tubes used in this study from PTFE tubing with 0.2 mm wall thickness. For the Hg\textsuperscript{0} permeation tube constructed of 0.2 mm tubing, we drilled a 1 mm diameter hole in one side of a PTFE rod, filled the hole with Hg\textsuperscript{0}, and covered the rod with the PTFE tubing. This limited the permeable area of the tube and kept the permeation rate low. We also constructed an empty permeation tube to determine the mass loss rate of the tube itself. (We constructed this tube like the others, but it contained no mercury.) We did not attempt injections from this blank permeation tube with the automated calibrator. We obtained Hg\textsuperscript{0} from Sigma-Aldrich, and we purchased HgBr\textsubscript{2} and HgCl\textsubscript{2} from Sigma-Aldrich and American Elements.

We kept the permeation tubes either in the calibrator or in 40 mL amber glass vials with PTFE-lined caps. After construction, we only handled permeation tubes with PTFE-lined tweezers. When the calibrator was at the laboratory and the permeation tubes were housed within it (including the blank tube), we weighed the permeation tubes weekly with a Mettler Toledo XS3DU Microbalance to determine the rate of mercury loss. Gravimetric verification of mercury loss from diffusion tubes was first reported by Ent et al.\textsuperscript{40} We used NIST-traceable mass standards to verify the performance of the balance on each day of use (acceptance criterion of ±4 μg). We passed permeation tubes through the poles of a Mettler Toledo 63052302 Haug Deionizer several times before each weighing to reduce errors from static electricity. We weighed permeation tubes repeatedly until the difference between two successive measurements was less than 3 μg, and we recorded the final mass. This usually required no more than three measurements. We calculated the Hg mass loss as the total mass loss multiplied by the fraction of the mass of the compounds in the permeation tubes that was due to Hg atoms (1.00 for Hg\textsuperscript{0}, 0.739 for HgCl\textsubscript{2}, and 0.557 for HgBr\textsubscript{2}). We excluded data from the first several weeks that a permeation tube was in the permeation oven, since the permeation tubes we constructed showed a rapid mass loss during this time, perhaps because of off-gasing from the Teflon material.

When the calibrator was in the laboratory, it was connected to a Tekran 1130 system and a Tekran 2537B mercury analyzer to determine the amount of Hg\textsuperscript{0} and Hg\textsuperscript{II} injected by the calibrator. The Tekran 1130 collects Hg\textsuperscript{0} on KCl-coated quartz denuders. As discussed in the Introduction, KCl-coated
denuders allow Hg\textsuperscript{II} compounds to decompose to Hg\textsuperscript{0} when sampling ambient air, leading to a low bias. Available evidence suggests, however, that this bias does not occur if denuders sample air that has been purified by passing it through an activated carbon scrubber cartridge\textsuperscript{42,44,51} (although KCl denuders do not appear to collect nonhalide mercury compounds quantitatively, even in scrubbed air).\textsuperscript{37} We used the calibrator to inject Hg\textsuperscript{0}, HgBr\textsubscript{2}, and HgCl\textsubscript{2} into the laboratory Tekran 1130 downstream of its elutriator/impactor inlet, since this inlet may collect oxidized mercury.\textsuperscript{52}

The Hg\textsuperscript{II} permeation tubes emitted mercury at rates that led to unrealistically high mercury concentrations in sample air. To account for this, we injected for only a small portion of each measurement period (∼10% of each measurement period for the 0.8 mm tubes and ∼1% for the 0.2 mm tubes). This kept Hg\textsuperscript{2} recovered by the measurement systems within the range of hundreds of pg m\textsuperscript{−3}.

2.3. Dual-Channel Mercury Measurement System. We built a dual-channel mercury measurement system that operated similarly to the Detector of Oxidized Mercury (Hg) Species (DOHGS) used on airborne platforms (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{11,49,53,54} Our dual-channel system pulled ambient air through a PTFE-coated glass elutriator and particle impactor and then through a 6 mm PFA sample line at 10 L min\textsuperscript{−1} (volumetric) with a 50% particle cut size of 2.5 μm. URG corporation manufactured the elutriator and impactor. They were similar to the URG part numbers URG-2000-30K and URG-2000-30P that are components of the Tekran 1130 commercial mercury speciation system, except that they had a 6 mm stem added to the elutriator inlet. The system periodically forced excess purified air into this 6 mm stem to check for system contamination. The system maintained the inlet and sample line at 120 °C.

A Tekran 2537B mercury analyzer with a supplemental PTFE pump to maintain constant flow sequentially pulled 1 L min\textsuperscript{−1} from the sample line through (1) a series of two 47 mm-diameter cation-exchange membranes (housed in PFA filter holders) and (2) a pyrolyzer. The cation-exchange membranes collected oxidized mercury compounds while allowing Hg\textsuperscript{0} to pass through.\textsuperscript{42} The 650 °C pyrolyzer was a quartz tube filled with quartz wool, and had the same dimensions as the pyrolyzer described and tested by Lyman and Jaffe.\textsuperscript{49} They showed that the pyrolyzer reduced at least 97% of HgBr\textsubscript{2} to Hg\textsuperscript{0} (see their Supporting Information). A Campbell Scientific CR1000X datalogger controlled a PTFE valve that selected between the two channels.

The 2537 analyzer collected a gold trap sample every 2.5 min, and the datalogger sampled each channel for 5 min before switching to the next channel, so that one complete measurement occurred every 10 min (every four 2537 analyzer gold trap samples). The dual-channel valve switched at the same time as the 2537 analyzer gold traps). The CR1000X datalogger received the raw detector output from the 2537 analyzer at 0.1 s intervals. It (1) calculated the slope of the detector’s baseline signal, (2) determined the time and magnitude of the maximum detector signal relative to the baseline slope (i.e., the maximum peak height relative to the baseline), and (3) calculated the mercury concentration by multiplying the maximum peak height by a calibration factor and dividing it by the analyzer’s sample flow rate. The datalogger used the data output from the analyzer to update calibration factors for each of the analyzer’s gold traps each time the analyzer performed an automated internal calibration.

The dual-channel system included a PTFE valve that allowed it to route air from downstream of the pyrolyzer to upstream of the cation-exchange membranes. Since air that had passed through the pyrolyzer contained only Hg\textsuperscript{0}, and since the membranes did not collect Hg\textsuperscript{0},\textsuperscript{45} activating this valve supplied both channels with the same Hg\textsuperscript{0}-free air. This allowed us to determine whether one channel was biased relative to the other. The datalogger activated this valve for two out of every 72 h, and we used these periods where both channels sampled the same air to calculate detection limits as three times the standard deviation of Hg\textsuperscript{II} measurements when the same-air valve was activated. The system also pumped air scrubbed of mercury via an activated carbon canister into the 6 mm stem on the system’s inlet for two out of every 168 h.

We installed a soda lime trap, following AMNet methods,\textsuperscript{56} upstream of the 2537 analyzer. We changed the soda lime every 2 weeks and changed the cation-exchange membranes weekly. The 2537 analyzer also performed automated internal permeation source calibrations every 71 h throughout the study.

We checked the calibration of the 2537 analyzer used for the dual-channel system and the total mercury (THg) system (see next section) with the automated calibrator, and by performing manual injections of Hg\textsuperscript{0} vapor from a temperature-controlled mercury vapor source. We calculated the mercury vapor concentration in the source using the Dumarey equation.\textsuperscript{57} Recovery of injected mercury vapor was 105 ± 6% for the dual-channel system.

The dual-channel ambient air data set is available via USU’s Digital Commons Web site.\textsuperscript{58}

2.4. Total Mercury Measurement System. We measured total atmospheric Hg (THg = Hg\textsuperscript{0} + Hg\textsuperscript{II}) with an adjacent system to verify the dual-channel system’s measurement capabilities. This system was housed in the same instrument shelter as the dual-channel system and sampled air from the same main sample line. For the THg system, a Tekran 2537A pulled air at 1 L min\textsuperscript{−1} from the main sample line through a pyrolyzer that was continuously heated to 650 °C to convert any Hg\textsuperscript{0} to Hg\textsuperscript{2}. The Tekran 2537A recorded 5 min integrated measurements that we later averaged to match the 10 min measurements from the dual-channel system. We
analyzed the raw Tekran detector output to determine THg concentrations from peak height, as described by Swartzen-druber et al.59

As with the dual-channel system, this system had a soda lime trap upstream of the 2537 analyzer, and we changed the soda lime every 2 weeks. The 2537 analyzer performed automated internal permeation source calibrations every 71 h throughout the study, and we performed manual injections of Hg0 vapor from a temperature-controlled mercury vapor source (using the Dumarey equation25) to check instrument performance. Recovery of injected mercury vapor was 101 ± 1%.

2.5. Cation-Exchange Membrane Measurements with the UNR-RMAS 2.0. We used the UNR-RMAS 2.0 membrane sampling system to quantify Hg10 and determine Hg20 compounds at the field site from June through August 2018. Luippold et al.28 recently described the operation of the UNR-RMAS in detail. Briefly, the UNR-RMAS pulls air at 1 L min−1 through 47 mm cation-exchange and nylon membranes housed in PFA filter holders. We sampled triplicate membranes of each membrane type over two-week periods. After sampling, we digested cation-exchange membranes in a BrCl solution and analyzed the digestate for THg concentration using cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry. THg concentrations in the CEM digestates represent Hg10 since Hg20 does not sorb to cation-exchange membranes.32 We thermally desorbed nylon membranes following the procedures in Luippold et al.32 to determine the oxidized mercury compounds present in the sampled air.

2.6. Other Measurements. CDPHE provided continuous 1 min ozone measurements from a Teledyne API T400 analyzer as well as 1 min measurements of temperature, wind speed, wind direction, and relative humidity. The CDPHE Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)60 contains the calibration and QA/QC procedures for these measurements. Hourly data generated by the CDPHE can be obtained through the U.S. EPA Air Quality System (AQS) database.61

We also measured carbon dioxide with a LiCor LI-8400 continuous CO2/H2O analyzer with an external pump that pulled air through 1/4 in. O.D., 1/8 in. I.D. Bev-A-Line polyethylene tubing. The inlet consisted of a Millipore-FA filter unit containing a 1.0 μm PTFE filter. Inlet heights for all chemical measurements were 4 m above ground and 1 m above the instrument shelter.

2.7. HYSPLIT. We used HYSPLIT to determine the origins of air masses that impacted the measurement station during the field campaign.62 We computed 168-h back trajectories for 14:00 local standard time on each day between 8 and 17 August 2018, a period with both high and low daytime Hg10. We used the GDAS 0.5° data set available on the HYSPLIT Web site.63 HYSPLIT calculated vertical velocity from divergence. We used HYSPLIT’s ensemble trajectory mode, in which the starting meteorological data points associated with trajectories (not the actual trajectory starting point) are offset in a 3-dimensional cube centered at the starting point. For this mode, the HYSPLIT software draws meteorological data from the corners, midpoints, and center of the cube, resulting in 27 meteorological data points. Our starting heights were approximately 150, 400, and 650 m (0.01 sigma units), and our horizontal spacing was about 10 km (about 0.1° latitude and longitude).

2.8. Data Processing and Analysis. Variability in internal calibration response led to differences between THg measured by the two 2537 analyzers. We applied a multiplier to dual-channel system data to minimize this discrepancy (multiplier = [THg system calibration response]/[dual-channel system calibration response]). The average difference between the systems over the study period was 12% (the dual-channel system was higher).

We analyzed the data from this study with IBM SPSS Statistics v22, Matlab vR2014b, and Microsoft Excel 2013. We calculated slopes using reduced major axis regression.64 We used α = 0.05 to determine statistical significance. We present results as average ±95% confidence interval unless otherwise indicated. We used 1-h average data for all statistical analyses.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Permeation Tube Characterization. When kept heated in the calibrator’s permeation oven, HgBr2 and HgCl2 permeation tubes constructed from PTFE tubing with 0.2 mm wall thickness lost mass at a rate of 54.0 ± 1.4 and 42.1 ± 0.9 pg sec−1, respectively, much higher than the tubes constructed with PFA tubing with 0.8 mm wall thickness (2.0 ± 0.5 and 4.1 ± 0.5 pg sec−1, respectively). The Hg0 permeation tube constructed of 0.2 mm thickness PTFE lost 3.6 ± 0.9 pg sec−1, while the Hg0 permeation tube constructed of 0.8 mm thickness PFA lost only 0.4 ± 0.6 pg sec−1 (the Hg0 permeation tube constructed of 0.2 mm thickness PTFE had a smaller permeable area than similar permeation tubes filled with HgBr2 and HgCl2). The r2 values of the mass loss slopes were related to (though not significantly correlated with) the magnitude of the mass loss rate, ranging from 1.00 for a loss rate of 53.9 pg sec−1 to 0.18 for a loss rate of 0.4 pg sec−1. Figure 2 shows the mass loss for some of these permeation tubes. Figures S2–S4 and Table S1 provide additional information about mass loss from permeation tubes.

Figure 2. Change in mass over time for three permeation tubes (HgBr2, HgCl2, and Hg0) constructed of PFA tubing with 0.8 mm wall thickness, and an empty (blank) permeation tube constructed of PTFE tubing with 0.2 mm wall thickness.

Figure 2 shows that the blank permeation tube lost mass at a higher rate (1.0 ± 0.1 pg sec−1) than the Hg0 permeation rate for the period shown. We began measuring the blank permeation tube’s mass before the period shown in Figure 2 and continued measuring it after that period, for 675 days (measurement periods for permeation tubes that contained mercury were shorter). When calculated over this entire period, the mass loss rate of the blank permeation tube was only 0.1 ± 0.1 pg sec−1 (it was 0.3 ± 0.3 pg sec−1 for the period during which we measured the permeation tubes with 0.2 mm thickness tubing). It is not clear why the loss rate was higher.
measurements by Quetel et al. resulted in concentrations calibrated all the Tekran 2537 analyzers. Direct, SI-traceable trations in saturated mercury vapor injections conducted to as determined by the Dumarey equation, is biased low. The Dumarey equation to calculate Hg concentration biased low. For the 0.8 mm thickness permeation tubes, 29 ± 2% was recovered as HgBr₂. We do not yet know whether this difference was due to the thickness of the tubes or the tube material (0.2 mm tubes were PTFE, while 0.8 mm tubes were PFA).

The 0.2 mm tubes also emitted more mercury as HgBr₂ than the 0.8 mm permeation tubes. When used with the calibrator to inject into the laboratory Tekran 2537/1130 system that sampled air scrubbed with an activated carbon cartridge, 74 ± 2% of the mercury permeated by the tubes with 0.2 mm wall thickness was recovered as HgBr₂. For the 0.8 mm thickness permeation tubes, 29 ± 2% was recovered as HgBr₂. We do not yet know whether this difference was due to the thickness of the tubes or the tube material (0.2 mm tubes were PTFE, while 0.8 mm tubes were PFA).

Figure 3. Emission rate of THg from three permeation tubes constructed from 0.8 mm PFA tubing. We determined emission rate by the loss of mass from the tubes over time and by the amount of Hg₂O + HgBr₂ recovered that was delivered by the calibrator to the field dual-channel system and the laboratory Tekran 2537/1130 system (the laboratory Tekran system sampled air scrubbed with an activated carbon cartridge). Whiskers show 95% confidence intervals. See the text for a discussion of the correction techniques applied.

from the rate of mass loss, and as recovered by the laboratory Tekran 2537/1130 system and the field dual-channel system. Figure S5 shows the same information for permeation tubes constructed from 0.2 mm thickness PTFE tubing. Because the blank permeation tube was constructed of PTFE, its mass loss rate may not have been representative of mass loss from the PFA permeation tubes (unfortunately, our study did not include a blank PFA permeation tube). Thus, the correction for blank permeation tube mass loss in Figure 3 has high uncertainty.

Evidence exists that the concentration of HgBr₂ at saturation, as determined by the Dumarey equation, is biased low. We used the Dumarey equation to calculate Hg₂O concentrations in saturated mercury vapor injections conducted to calibrate all the Tekran 2537 analyzers. Direct, SI-traceable measurements by Quetel et al. resulted in concentrations 10–12% higher than those determined by the Dumarey, and direct measurements by Srivastava et al. were 8.5% higher. If this low bias in Dumarey-based Hg₂O calibrations is real, then it could account for some of the discrepancy between gravimetrically determined and analyzer-measured emission rates from permeation tubes. We included a 10% correction of the Tekran system measurements for this bias in Figures 3 and S5.

Also, as shown from our measurements with a blank permeation tube, mass loss occurs from the permeation tube materials, not just from loss of mercury, leading to a high bias in the mass loss measurements. We show a correction in Figures 3 and S5 for the mass loss that occurred from the blank permeation tube during the period that we weighed each nonblank permeation tube.

Despite these corrections, the gravimetrically determined and analyzer-measured total mercury permeation rates did not always agree well. Gravimetrically determined HgBr₂ and HgCl₂ permeation rates for the 0.8 mm thickness tubes were 94 and 152%, respectively, of those determined by the laboratory Tekran system. Also, after correcting for mass loss from the blank permeation tube, the gravimetrically determined Hg₂O permeation rate was less than zero (although, as mentioned above, the blank tube was constructed differently from the PFA Hg₂O permeation tube, adding uncertainty to the blank correction). Permeation rates for the 0.2 mm thickness tubes were 120, 116, and 124% higher for HgBr₂, HgBr₂, and HgCl₂, respectively, than those determined by the Tekran system, which was much more consistent than the 0.8 mm tubes. We expect this is because the 0.2 mm tubes emitted mercury at a much higher rate, so the mass loss rate had lower uncertainty, and any loss of nonmercury mass was insignificant (Figure S5).

The 0.2 mm tubes also emitted more mercury as HgBr₂ than the 0.8 mm permeation tubes. When used with the calibrator to inject into the laboratory Tekran 2537/1130 system that sampled air scrubbed with an activated carbon cartridge, 74 ± 2% of the mercury permeated by the tubes with 0.2 mm wall thickness was recovered as HgBr₂. For the 0.8 mm thickness permeation tubes, 29 ± 2% was recovered as HgBr₂. We do not yet know whether this difference was due to the thickness of the tubes or the tube material (0.2 mm tubes were PTFE, while 0.8 mm tubes were PFA).

0.2 mm PTFE tubes performed better than the 0.8 mm PFA tubes for the quality control results described here. The strong disadvantage of the 0.2 mm tubes, however, is that they lead to unrealistically high THg and HgBr₂ concentrations. Continuous injection from the 0.2 mmHgBr₂ tube into a 10 L min⁻¹ stream of mercury-free air led to a THg concentration of 178 ng m⁻³, while injection with the 0.8 mmHgBr₂ tube only led to an increase of 3.5 ng m⁻³. As discussed in the Methods section, we kept measured concentrations low by injecting for only a portion of each measurement period (e.g., HgBr₂ injections were typically 10 or 20 s of the 150-s gold trap sampling time for the 0.8 mm tubes used in the dual-channel field study, resulting in THg enhancements of less than 500 pg m⁻³). We acknowledge that this still leads to unrealistically high HgBr₂ concentrations during the short injection time and that this may have impacted our results.

3.2. Calibration Recovery. The permeation tubes with 0.2 mm wall thickness were the same as those used by Lyman et al. When the calibrator and those permeation tubes were used with Tekran 2537/1130 systems sampling ambient air at field sites, they recovered a lower percentage of the emitted mercury as HgBr₂ than the Tekran 2537/1130 system that sampled scrubbed air in the laboratory (Figure 4). This is due to ambient air inhibiting the ability of the Tekran 2537/1130 systems to recover injected HgBr₂. In contrast, Figure 4 shows that the dual-channel measurement system and the laboratory Tekran 2537/1130 system that sampled scrubbed air collected a similar percentage of mercury injected from the permeation tubes as HgBr₂. This provides evidence that the dual-channel system was able to collect HgBr₂ compounds quantitatively. Figure 3 shows that the two systems also recovered similar amounts of total mercury from all the permeation tubes.

We did not measure HgBr₂ with a KCl denuder-based system during our field deployment, and we cannot, therefore, be certain that such a system would have performed more poorly than our dual-channel system in that location and time of year. We submit, however, that all previous studies that have
investigated the performance of KCl denuders have found that they are biased low in ambient air,37,39,41−43 and that this short field study constitutes the first HgII measurements that have been shown with field calibrations to be quantitative. More work is needed to determine whether this dual-channel system can accurately measure HgII in a wide range of field conditions.

3.3. Dual-Channel Detection Limit. The HgII detection limit of the dual-channel system for each 10 min sample (calculated as three times the standard deviation of measurements when the system routed pyrolyzed air to the membrane channel) was 107 ± 23 pg m⁻³. The 1-h detection limit (three times the standard deviation of 1-h averages) was 60 ± 34 pg m⁻³. The 3-h detection limit was 33 pg m⁻³ (not enough data to calculated confidence interval).

Since almost all past HgII measurements have been based on biased KCl denuders systems, few reliable, and almost no calibrated, measurements of HgII are available to compare against the dual-channel system’s detection limit. The detection limit was low enough to clearly distinguish diurnal patterns of HgII in this study (Figures 5 and S6), but it may be too high to be useful in places known to have relatively low atmospheric HgII, such as the eastern United States.28

3.4. Ambient Air Results. THg from the dual-channel and THg systems were reasonably well correlated (r² = 0.76; slope = 1.01 ± 0.04). Figure 5 shows a time series of THg from both systems and Hg0 from the dual-channel system and the UNR-RMAS. As Figure 5 shows, dual-channel HgII sometimes dropped below zero, but these deviations were rarely less than the 1-h detection limit.

HgII was correlated with ozone and temperature and anticorrelated with Hg0, relative humidity, and CO2 (Table S2), similar to what others have shown.69−74 Correlations with HgII showed opposite trends (statistically significant Pearson r values with ozone, humidity, and temperature were −0.33, 0.47, and −0.36, respectively). HgII tended to be higher during the day and lower at night, also consistent with other studies.69−73 Figure S6 shows a diurnal plot of HgII, Hg0, and ozone. HgII tended to be highest when winds were from the east (Figure S7), and ozone displayed a similar directional pattern (data not shown). Easterly, upslope flow commonly occurs during the daytime along the Colorado Front Range.7 To the southeast of the measurement station is the Denver metropolitan area (Figure S1), and to the direct east is an area of intensive oil and natural gas production. Both of these areas are abundant sources of ozone precursors.76−78 The Colorado Front Range experiences local summertime photochemical ozone production,78 and, given the correlation between ozone and HgII, it could be argued that HgII was also produced via local boundary layer photochemistry.13,23

Alternatively, the same high-pressure conditions that are conducive to local ozone photochemistry on the Front Range20 are also conducive to transport of potentially HgII-rich free-tropospheric air to the surface.11,80−82 Daily ensemble HYSPLIT back trajectories showed that afternoon HgII tended to be higher when trajectory paths were at higher average altitude (Pearson r = 0.83) and when average specific humidity was lower (r = 0.83). (Figures S8 and S9 show example trajectories from high- and low-HgII days, respectively.) The average altitude and specific humidity over the entire 168-h path for trajectories that ended on 11 August, a day with elevated afternoon HgII (Figure 5), were 8523 ± 128 m above sea level and 1.0 ± 0.1 g kg⁻¹ versus 3937 ± 102 m and 5.6 ± 0.1 g kg⁻¹ on 8 August, a day with low afternoon HgII. Trajectories on low-HgII days also had more rainfall and less sunlight, though these trends were not statistically significant. This provides evidence that HgII measured at the study site may have originated from the dry free troposphere. While our field study was inadequate to distinguish between these two

Figure 4. Comparison of HgII recovery by measurement systems in ambient air versus the laboratory Tekran 2537/1130 system that sampled air scrubbed with an activated carbon cartridge. Field measurements for the Tekran 1130 systems are from Lyman et al.43 Each bar shows 100 × [fraction of injected mercury recovered as HgII by the field system indicated]/[fraction of injected mercury recovered as HgII by the laboratory Tekran 2537/1130 with the same permeation tubes]. Whiskers show 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 5. Time series of THg and HgII in ambient air. Hours are local standard time.
possible sources (i.e., local photochemical production vs transport from the free troposphere), available evidence from other studies suggests that a free troposphere source may be more likely.17,21,83

Whole-campaign average HgII concentrations were higher than almost all KCl denuder measurements that have been made at ground-based monitoring stations, likely because KCl denuder measurements are biased low, while our measurement system appears to collect HgII quantitatively (Figure 4). Our study average was lower than summertime KCl denuder-based measurements at the Desert Research Institute near Reno, Nevada (51 ± 29 pg m⁻³; mean ± standard deviation),75 but was higher than summer measurements at other locations in Nevada (10–17 pg m⁻³).56 Our average was higher than late spring and summer measurements at Storm Peak Laboratory (20 ± 21 pg m⁻³; mean ± standard deviation),77 which lies at 3220 m above sea level (our study location is at 1650 m) and 150 km to the northwest of our study location. Our measurements were in the range of those collected at Mt. Bachelor, Oregon, another mountaintop site (39 pg m⁻³),81 and were much higher than previous measurements in the west-central U.S. (e.g., 7 ± 7 pg m⁻³ in New Mexico85 and less than 7 pg m⁻³ in Oklahoma).38

Measurements of HgII using the UNR-RMAS system, in contrast, have shown higher concentrations than those measured by KCl denuder systems. Huang et al.29 showed that cation-exchange membrane-derived HgII was more than ten times higher than KCl denuder-based measurements at a site in Florida, and Luippold et al.25 found a difference of 3–10 times in Nevada.

The UNR-RMAS measurements in this study did not perfectly coincide with the short dual-channel sampling period, so a direct comparison of the two methods cannot be made. UNR-RMAS HgII averaged 35 ± 13 pg m⁻³ during July and August 2018, however, in the same range as our dual-channel measurements (also see Figure 5). As cation-exchange membrane-based measurements (and perhaps measurements from other new methods) proliferate, their apparently quantitative measurements of HgII will allow for a more accurate understanding of atmospheric mercury concentrations.77,88 Consistent with previous studies,26,29,37,68 HgII concentrations derived from nylon membrane samples were lower than those derived from cation-exchange membrane samples, although they exhibited similar temporal trends (Figure S10). On the basis of the nylon membrane thermal desorption profiles, HgII compounds containing halogens and nitrogen dominated during the sampling campaign (Figure S11), with halogen-containing compounds making up the majority of HgII during all sampling periods, and nitrogen-containing compounds present during the two-week sampling periods starting on July 3rd, July 17th, and August 14th. Evidence for sulfur-containing compounds existed during the August 14th sampling period. In previous work, halogen-containing HgII compounds tended to be associated with air from the upper atmosphere, while nitrogen- and sulfur-containing compounds were related to local anthropogenic pollution.29,38 The dominance of halogen-containing compounds here adds strength to the hypothesis that most of the observed HgII originated in the upper troposphere.

3.5. Additional Work. Even though the dual-channel system was able to quantitatively detect HgCl₂ and HgBr₂ in ambient air, we are currently unable to verify its ability to quantitatively detect actual ambient HgII, since evidence indicates that ambient HgII is composed of multiple compounds.6,28–31 The cation-exchange membranes used by the system have been shown to collect a diverse array of synthetic HgII compounds, and they perform much better than KCl denuders in this regard,36–38 but additional work is needed to verify whether the membranes detect these compounds quantitatively in the dual channel system. Some HgII compounds that have been proposed (BrHgOÖH, HgBrONO, and others19,20,24,30–33,35) have not been produced synthetically, rendering calibration for these specific compounds impossible, at least via permeation tube-based methods.

Our dual-channel measurement system shows promise, providing the first HgII measurements to date that have been demonstrated with field calibrations to be accurate. Its detection limit was relatively high, however, perhaps too high for meaningful measurements in some areas of the world. Since completion of the work reported here, we have decreased the 10 min and 1 h detection limits of the system to 38 ± 8 and 13 ± 2 pg m⁻³, respectively, by improving the stability of the 2537 analyzer and the detector output processing method. We have also begun developing a version of the instrument in which we will minimize the potential for line loss of HgII by mounting the membrane and pyrolyzer channels on a sampling tower and reducing the inlet line length to ∼5 cm. Along with continuing research to better characterize permeation tubes and improve our automated calibrator, these advances will increase the precision and reliability of future HgII measurements.
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